

DE 44-1639

REC:BL

1

Ruby, Jack 2-2

Other Individuals and Organizations
Involved or Interviewed

The following investigation was conducted by SA TOM E. CHAPOTON at Dallas, Texas, in connection with an allegation by PAUL ROTHERMEL, Dallas, Texas, that about 6-7 years ago, JACK RUBY attended a funeral at Kennedy, Texas, of DALLAS HUSKEY, an underworld figure who is supposed to have killed two people 17 or 18 years ago and was defended by a Chicago attorney.

On December 13, 1963, R. V. HUSKY, 7208 Bennington Street, Dallas, advised his brother, DALLAS HUSKY, was buried at Kennedy, Texas, during January, 1954. He stated that he did not know JACK RUBY and had no idea whether or not RUBY attended this funeral. He stated as far as he knows, his brother was not acquainted with RUBY. He suggested that his brother's widow, Mrs. HELEN HUSKY be contacted in that she would certainly know DALLAS HUSKY's friends and acquaintances.

On December 16, 1963, Mrs. HELEN HUSKY, 4415 Emerson Street, Dallas, telephone LA 8-7500, advised that her husband, DALLAS HASKELL HUSKY died on January 5, 1954, and was buried at Kennedy, Texas, on January 7, 1954. She stated that many people attended this funeral, some whom she did not know, but she is almost positive that an individual such as JACK RUBY was not there. She stated that she had never seen JACK RUBY and had never heard of him prior to his newspaper publicity. She further stated that if RUBY had been at this funeral he is the type of person, according to what she has read, who would make his presence known to one and all.

She related that her husband was an oil man and rancher and loved to gamble, but was definitely not in the gambling business. She stated that he was involved in a murder trial about 17 years ago in which he pled self-defense and was acquitted. He was represented in this matter by CARL JOHNSON, an attorney from San Antonio, Texas. He did not have anyone represent him from outside the state of Texas, much less Chicago.

Mrs. HUSKY continued that she had worked with her husband in many of his business activities and knew his friends, associates and people with whom he had dealings and she is positive that he did not know or have any business dealings with RUBY. She concluded that she could furnish no information concerning JACK RUBY in that she did not know this individual.

111

CR 107